2. Plaintiff is the shipper, owner, and/or otherwise had an interest in the cargo that is the subject of this complaint, and brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of all parties who are or may become interested in the subject shipment as their respective interests may ultimately appear, including subrogated underwriters.

- 3. At and during all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant American Airlines, Inc. ("American Airlines") was and now is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and was and is a common carrier of goods by air, and issues air waybills for the international carriage of cargo by air, and operates in, about and through this District.
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction based upon 28 U.S.C. §1331 in that this claim arises under the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air done at Montreal opened for signature May 28, 1999, S. Treaty Doc. No. 106–45, at 27 (2000), 2242 U.N.T.S. 350., (the "Montreal Convention").
- 5. Defendant is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court by virtue of transacting business within and through this District, by making and/or issuing the contracts of carriage through this District and by delivering the cargo which is the subject of this complaint within this District.

6. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391 as Defendant transacts business within and/or through the District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in the District.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Montreal Convention)

- 7. On or about September 20, 2021, a cargo of 24 pallets containing 1680 cases of cognac (hereinafter the "Cargo") was delivered to Defendant American Airlines in good order and condition and suitable in every respect for the intended transit, which Defendant received, accepted, and agreed to transport by air from Paris, France to Los Angeles, California by air pursuant to air waybill no. 001-39094392, for valuable consideration.
- 8. Defendant was the carrier of the Cargo, within the meaning of the Montreal Convention.
- 9. While in the possession, custody and control of Defendant, six pallets and three cases were lost or stolen.
- 10. By reason of the premises, the Cargo was lost or stolen during international carriage by air, within the meaning of the Montreal Convention.
- 11. By reason of the premises, Defendant breached and violated its common law and contractual duties and obligations as a common carrier and bailee

| 1 | | |
|----|----------------------|--|
| 2 | Date: April 19, 2022 | HILL RIVKINS BROWN & |
| 3 | | HILL RIVKINS BROWN & ASSOCIATES A Professional Law Corporation |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | By: /s/ Adam C. Brown |
| 6 | | ADAM C. BROWN |
| 7 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff BACARDI U.S.A., INC. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | 5 |
| 28 | | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES |
| | | |